The "Leadership Style" & "Group Characteristic" Related to "Job Satisfaction" and Performance

張 筱 明 HSIAO-MING CHANG

I. INTRODUCTION

This paper is organized into two parts. Part I, from a macro point of view, emphasizes the situational factors affect leadership effectiveness. Discussion will be included the key decision-making in the sense of policy and goalsetting, the situational and organizational arrangement, the task structure, leader's position power and group atmosphere (or leader-member relations).

Part II, from a micro point of view, aims at discussing leadership functions as related to job satisfaction and performance. Clarifing several concepts, understanding some key elements in the process of management and providing possible alternatives will help a leader to manipulate the kind of outputs he wants to see or at least to avoid the unwanted situation appears.

PART I

Thinking organization as a whole and complex social system with the interaction of each component parts and with the interaction of the organization itself with the task environment, the most difficult and important work of a leader is to make the key decisions. That is to set the fundamental goal and policy which will

match appropriate organizational arrangement served as a criteria and guide for the operation of the whole system.

Organizations theorist such as March and Simon, Hage and Aiken, all emphasize the organizational policy and organizational arrangement should be matched each other: the policy influences the structure and the structure conforms to a certain type of 'policy. For example, March and Simon recognizes that to change individual behavior, we don't have to change individual's personality or teach them human relations skill. Instead, the better way is changing "the premises of decisions"! That is the situational arrangement, e.g. the rules and regulations of jobs, the network of communications and so forth.

Hage and Aiken also indicate that if the organizational policies emphasize on the quality of the product or service, the best organizational arrangement is a dynamic style. This refers to high complexity (the level of knowledge and expertise), low formalization (the degree of codification of jobs), low centralization (the way in which powers and decision-making is distributed), and low stratification (differential distribution of rewards to the jobs). On the contrary, if the organizational policies emphasize on the economy and efficiency, the best organization

ional arrangement is a kind of low complexity, high formalization, high cenralization and high stratification.

Although what Hage and Aiken provided are only two "ideal types" of organizational system, it is helpful for a leader to use hese standards to describe and to diagnose he situation he faces. According to the echnological and environmental change, he organization policy is forced to adapt and this will need an altering of the situational and arrangement in order to have maximum outcome of product and service.

Furthermore, Fiedler initiates an alternative idea of "engineer the job to fit the manager" He argues that the present ractice of management is to identify the pal of organization first, then require that kinds of traits and behaviors of articipant to lead to accomplish this pal. However, his proposal is to identify the people first, then to engineer the attuation. It needs to consider of the task tructure (well specified or not), leader's position power (the amount of power held the leader is high or low), and leader-member relations (the whole group the times that the proposal is to identify the leader is high or low), and leader-member relations (the whole group the times that the present the proposal is to identify the leader is high or low), and leader-member relations (the whole group throughput the proposal is good or bad).

Fiedler points out that by arranging lese three situational factors, one can agineer the job to fit the man. For ample, he tests that in the highly worable (the work is well structured, le leader's power is high, the leader is cepted or liked) or unfavorable situation, letask-oriented leader does best. While the neither rejected or accepted situation, the employee-oriented leader

performs best.

In all, we can see that there is no one leadership style is absolutely better than the other (task-oriented or employee-oriented) ---it all depends. Different task structure arrangement accords with appropriate leadership strategy is possibly the best solution for seeking higher job satisfaction and performance.

PART II

I. The problem of perception

Perception is an important problem in the leader-member relations. This is because everyone has different modes of perceive reality (mainly due to the family, education, social and culture background). Specifically, the situational and organizational factors will influence on perception, and perception, in turn, will influence on interpersonal adjustment. Also, one's own place in the organization (status or role) will influence his self-perception. Further, we are very used to be narrowminded to judging people. The common mistakes are: stereotyping (categoring people and assuming people in the same category have the same universified characteristics); halo effect (only use one trait as the basis for the judgement of someone's whole personality); project and defense mechanism (defend oneself from feeling of guilty or failure by either blame onto someone else or distort the fact).

Accordingly, a leader must be aware of these pitfalls and try to analyze, evaluate himself first and then keep his judgement of subordinates openly until he gets more evidence in order to reducing